Briefing AER Ring Fencing Guideline – Opportunity for ASPs to Comment

- The Australian Energy Regulator (AER) has recently released a Ring Fencing Guideline that Essential Energy must comply with by 1 January 2018
- Essential Energy has asked the AER for two Guideline obligation waivers relating to:
 - provision of some technical training courses, and
 - allowing Essential Energy to act as a provider of last resort for the delivery of some contestable electricity works
- Essential Energy encourages ASPs to send submissions on these two waivers to the AER to help inform its decision-making process

What is happening?

AER Ring Fencing Guideline

In November 2016, the AER released its Ring Fencing Guideline for electricity distribution, which will apply across the National Electricity Market.

The aim of the Guideline is to reduce the risk of anti-competitive behaviour by imposing obligations on electricity distributors from 1 January 2018 to functionally and / or legally separate areas of the business that deliver contestable services from areas that deliver regulated electricity services.

The Guideline and explanatory statement can be found on the AER's website at www.aer.gov.au/networks-pipelines/ring-fencing.

Essential Energy is entitled to seek waivers for some obligations under certain circumstances.

Essential Energy's position

Essential Energy has largely withdrawn from the delivery of contestable services over the last five years. The costs associated with continuing to deliver the remaining small amount of contestable works in compliance with the Guideline is estimated to be much greater than the benefit Essential Energy would receive. As such, Essential Energy has decided to avoid functional and legal separation costs imposed by the Guideline by:

- requesting the AER to regulate (i.e. set a fee) for some monopoly-type services
- seeking a waiver from the Ring Fencing obligations for some services, and
- withdrawing from offering some services.

A copy of Essential Energy's compliance plan can be found on the AER's website at www.aer.gov.au/networks-pipelines/ring-fencing/compliance-plans.

Opportunity for ASPs to have a say

Two of the waivers we have submitted to the AER concern services which impact on ASPs (see annexure 1 and 2).

The AER has released draft decisions on these waivers and called for public comment by 13 November 2017.

You can submit comments on these waivers to the AER at ringfencing@aer.gov.au.



Technical Training Waiver

Essential Energy currently delivers the following training courses to ASPs across our footprint and in other network areas:

- Accredited Service Provider (ASP) Initial & Refresher Training
- Work Near Overhead Powerlines (WNP) Initial & Refresher Training, and
- Safe Work Practices Initial & Refresher Training.

Since other providers offer these training courses, they are considered contestable. In compliance with the Guideline, Essential Energy would need to deliver these services from a functionally separated business unit. Due to the small share external training makes up of our overall training requirements, the cost of establishing a separate business unit would be financially unviable.

Instead, Essential Energy has submitted a waiver to the AER requesting that the functional separation obligations of the Guideline be waived for the delivery of these training courses in specific locations on the basis that, due to a lack of available alternate suppliers across most of our network footprint, ASPs based in regional or remote areas would have difficulty accessing these services in a timely or cost-efficient manner.

Should this waiver not be approved Essential Energy will be forced to discontinue offering these training courses to ASPs.

Our Technical Training waiver has been included at annexure 1 for your information.

Provider of Last Resort Waiver

While Essential Energy has largely withdrawn from the delivery of contestable electricity construction services, we continue to provide a small amount of these services across our footprint. In compliance with the Guideline, Essential Energy would need to deliver these services from a functionally separated business unit. Due to the small volume of services, the cost of establishing a separate business unit would be financially unviable.

Should this decision be reviewed in the future, any services delivered would be done so in full compliance of the Guideline.

However, we are concerned about the potential for this decision to lead to reduced services and increased costs for customers in regional locations where there is no existing competition. As such, we have submitted a waiver to the AER requesting that the functional separation obligations be waived for the following defined set of services where a customer is unable to source an alternative provider, such as a ASP, for the completion of these services:

- all services allowed for under the NSW ASP Scheme, including:
 - level 1 customer connection services (including both overhead and underground) and related network extensions or augmentations
 - level 2 services, such as disconnect and reconnect, work on underground service conductors, work on overhead service conductors, and
 - level 3 design services for both overhead and underground network electricity assets
- customer initiated asset relocations
- inspection, maintenance, and testing of customer assets including HV assets (note this is for standard distribution/sub-transmission system type assets and excludes emerging technology assets such PV, batteries, etc).

Essential Energy is also proposing to add a number of controls to ensure that a customer has tried to access a supplier from the contestable market before asking Essential Energy to deliver the service as a provider of last resort. Controls currently under discussion include:

- referring customers at the first point of contact to the listing of ASPs maintained by the NSW Government
- requiring customers who are unable to find any ASP to do the job to complete a form on our website which will ask
 them for information such as a description of job, location information, any specific timing requirements, and which
 ASPs they have sought quotes from
- posting proposed jobs on our website and providing ASPs with 1-2 weeks to register interest in undertaking the work.

Essential Energy would undertake the work only if no interest is registered by ASPs.

While the Provider of Last Resort waiver ensures that all customers have access to these services, members of our Customer Advisory Group (CAG) recently voiced concern over the significant costs that customers living in remote locations have to pay to secure the services of qualified tradesmen, especially when the tradesman is the only supplier in a specific location and can set the price for the local market, or where the closest provider is a significant distance from the customer. This could lead to customers paying significantly higher costs for services from the market when Essential Energy has appropriately qualified resources located locally who could deliver the service for a lower price if we were allowed to offer these same services.



We have advised the AER of these concerns and our intention to seek feedback from stakeholders on additional controls that could be included in the final waiver decision to mitigate these risks. Controls could include:

- restricting distance in the Provider of Last Resort waiver (i.e. providers would have to be within 100km of the closest Essential Energy depot). This could be restricted to jobs under a certain dollar threshold, or restricted to certain types of minor jobs
- amending the Provider of Last Resort waiver to apply in instances where customers can only get one quote (i.e. Essential Energy would effectively provide the competition if only one quote is available from the market)
- publishing a price guideline on the Essential Energy's website to help customers assess whether they are getting value for money (i.e. cost of conducting simple services and a per km cost of travel)
- leveraging the regional office exemption available within the Ring Fencing Guideline this exemption covers approximately 30 per cent of Essential Energy's depots, mainly in the west of the state. This would allow us to actively quote for services within regional offices and use the Provider of Last Resort only in non-regional offices.

Our Provider of Last Resort waiver has been included at annexure 2 for your information.

We encourage ASPs to make submissions to the AER on:

- our 'Provider of Last Resort' waiver;
- the controls we have proposed for ensuring that customers only request services from Essential Energy in a genuine last resort situation, and
- the range of possible measures we have suggested that could be used to ensure regional customers are not left paying more for services than they should due to a lack of local market competition.

Further Information

Further enquiries can be directed to aspinfo@essentialenergy.com.au

Attachments:

- 01. Annexure Waiver Application by Essential Energy Contestable Technical Training Courses
- 02. Annexure Waiver Application by Essential Energy Provider of Last Resort



Ring-Fencing Guideline – Electricity Distribution November 2016

Waiver Application by Essential Energy: Contestable Technical Training Courses

September 2017



Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	3
2.	Description of service – Contestable Technical Training Courses	3
3.	Description of waiver being sought	4
3.1	Obligation subject of this waiver	4
3.2	Proposed waiver commencement date and expiry date	4
3.3	Regulatory control periods to which the waiver applies	5
4.	Legislative arrangements for waivers	5
5.	Grounds for seeking a waiver	5
5.1	Reasons for seeking a waiver	5
5.2	Reasons for the AER to grant the waiver	5
5.3	Cost associated with complying with the obligation under clauses 4.2	6
5.4	Additional measures to be undertaken on granting of waiver	7
6.	Summary	7
Appendix 1 - Waiver Information Compliance Checklist		8

1. Introduction

This paper outlines Essential Energy's application for a waiver for Essential Energy's technical training service for the delivery of three notionally contestable training courses. The application requests a waiver of clause 4.2 of the Ring Fencing Guideline – Electricity Distribution (Guideline) which requires a Distribution Network Service Provider (DNSP) to comply with obligations relating to physical separation, staff separation, branding and cross promotion and office and staff registers between its *direct control services* and *contestable electricity services* provided by a related electricity service provider.

Essential Energy submits that:

- there is no competitive market for these services in regional New South Wales and a waiver will protect customers requiring this service in the specific locations requested; and
- complying with section 4.2 of the Guideline, which would require the separation of offices, staff and branding/promotion of those employees managing or assisting with the delivery of the Technical Training service from regulated offices, staff and branding/promotion, would result in increased costs for customers for no public benefit.

2. Description of service – Contestable Technical Training Courses

Essential Energy currently offers three notionally contestable technical training courses to ASP's or those who work on or near the network. The three training courses, which are offered across essential Energy's footprint and other DNSP network areas, are:

- Accredited Service Provider (ASP) Initial & Refresher Training This training supports the need for
 national mobility of the Electricity Supply Industry (ESI) workforce and provides a standardised approach
 for workers to gain and maintain Authorisation to work on or near electrical supply industry networks.
 Essential Energy offers initial and re-assessment training days, aligned to National Units of Competency to
 eligible ESI workers who conduct contestable work. This course is a contestable training course offered by
 other providers in NSW;
- Work Near Overhead Powerlines (WNP) Initial & Refresher Training Contact with overhead powerlines is a serious risk and is one of the largest single causes of fatalities associated with mobile plant and equipment. Aligning to the WorkCover NSW Code of Practice 2006 Work Near Overhead Powerlines, training is offered as either Initial Training for new entrants or annual Refresher Training to existing workers. The WorkCover Code of Practice aims to protect the health and safety of persons by managing the risks associated with work near overhead powerlines and includes references to legislative obligations of persons conducting a business or undertaking (PCBU), officers and workers who are required to work in close proximity to overhead powerlines. Once successfully completed, accredited workers will be able to work to reduced clearances in accordance with the WNP Code. To remain compliant to the WorkCover Code, annual refresher training is required. This course is a contestable training course offered by other providers in NSW;
- Safe Work Practices Initial & Refresher Training Businesses that have high voltage assets connected to distribution networks must comply with the Electricity Supply (Safety & Network Management Plans) Regulation 2008, the Work Health and Safety Act 2011 and Section 7 of the Service and Installation Rules NSW 2006. In addition, incorrect operation of HV assets can have an impact on Essential Energy's Subtransmission and distribution networks and has the potential to cause outages that affect other customers. Knowing the requirements, correct processes and keeping employees safe is a significant part of compliance which is why Essential Energy offers Safe Work Practices training to organisations. The course provides participants with the knowledge to carry out safe work practices including identifying electrical and mechanical hazards specific to high voltage substations, precautions that must be taken when working on and near the infrastructure, how to avoid safety issues through the use of personal protective equipment and awareness of rescue procedures in the event an incident occurs. This course is a contestable training course offered by other providers in NSW.

In assessing options available in order to achieve compliance with the Ring Fencing Guideline, Essential Energy has determined that continuing to offer the same (small) volume of services would not justify the cost to customers of creating and running a functionally separated business post 1 January 2018.

However, we are concerned about the possibility of this decision leading to reduced services and increased costs to those customers in regional locations where there is limited or no existing competition. The other identified providers of these training courses are all located in the Sydney basin or in the Hunter Valley of NSW and therefore those customers in most of regional NSW may not have ready access to these services at an affordable price should Essential Energy entirely withdraw from offering them.

Our concern for regional communities where there may be a lack of competition can be categorised into two main areas:

- Lack of access to affordable services where only a single competitive provider exists and abuses their market power or where service providers may be located a significant distance away and mobilisation becomes a significant cost; and
- Lack of access to timely services where providers advise customers that they are unable to perform the services requested in a timely manner and there is a lack of alternative suppliers.

In recognition of Essential Energy's concerns for regional communities, Essential Energy proposes a Ring Fencing Guideline compliant solution to ensure that timely, quality and affordable technical training services are accessible to all communities in our network footprint.

Essential Energy requests the AER's approval of the proposed solution which involves granting a waiver of clause 4.2 for the delivery of the courses noted above in the following specific locations only where there is a lack of ready access to these courses:

- Within Essential Energy's footprint: Albury, Ballina, Bathurst, Bingara, Blayney, Braidwood, Broadwater, Broken Hill, Bulahdelah, Buronga, Cobar, Cobram, Coffs Harbour, Condon, Corowa, Deniliquin, Dubbo, Eden, Ewingsdale, Goulburn, Goondiwindi, Grafton, Griffith, Harwood, Hay, Holbrook, Inglewood, Inverell, Kempsey, Leeton, Lismore, Marulan, Mittagong, Moree, Moruya, Mulwala, Murwillumbah, Nambucca Heads, Narrabri, Orange, Parkes, Queanbeyan, Tamworth, Taree, Temora, Tweed Heads, Uki, Wagga, Walcha, Warialda, Young; and
- Outside Essential Energy's footprint (in regional Victoria): Wodonga, Echuca, Bendigo and Swan Hill (for customers based outside of our footprint but authorised to work on the Essential Energy network).

3. Description of waiver being sought

3.1 Obligation subject of this waiver

As required by the National Electricity Rules (NER) under clause 6.17.2, the AER published the Ring-Fencing Guideline – Electricity Distribution in November 2016. Clause 6.17.1 of the NER requires *DNSP*'s to comply with the Guideline.

The clauses within section 4.2 of the Guideline require DNSP's to functionally separate the offices, staff and branding/cross-promotion of direct control services from contestable electricity services. Clause 4.2.5 of the Guideline permit a *DNSP* to apply for a waiver of these obligations.

Essential Energy is seeking a waiver of the obligations in section 4.2 with regards to the three technical training courses outlined above in those locations specified in section 2.

3.2 Proposed waiver commencement date and expiry date

Essential Energy requests that the waiver be granted from 1 December 2016 (the commencement date of the Guideline) with an expiry date of 30 June 2024 (the end of the next regulatory control period) as allowed for by clause 5.3.4(b). These dates have been selected to allow the waiver to be in operation for the longest duration possible given Essential Energy does not foresee any change in the service during that timeframe.

3.3 Regulatory control periods to which the waiver applies

The requested waiver will cover the remainder of the 2015-2019 regulatory control period as well as the full 2020-2024 regulatory control period.

4. Legislative arrangements for waivers

Clause 5.2 states that 'a *DNSP* may apply in writing to the AER for a waiver of its obligations under clauses 3.1, 4.2 and/or 4.4.1(a) of this Guideline. Furthermore, this clause states an application for waiver must contain all information and materials necessary to support the *DNSP*'s application, including:

- a) The obligation in respect of which the *DNSP* is applying for a waiver;
- b) The reasons why the DNSP is applying for the waiver;
- c) Details of the service, or services, in relation to which the DNSP is applying for the waiver;
- d) The proposed commencement date and expiry date (if any) of the waiver and the reasons for those dates;
- e) Details of the costs associated with the *DNSP* complying with the obligation if the waiver of the obligation were refused:
- f) The regulatory control period(s) to which the waiver would apply;
- g) Any additional measures the DNSP proposes to undertake if the waiver were granted; and
- h) The reasons why the *DNSP* considers the waiver should be granted with reference to the matters specified in clause 5.3.2(a), including the benefits, or likely benefits, of the grant of the waiver to electricity consumers.'

5. Grounds for seeking a waiver

5.1 Reasons for seeking a waiver

Essential Energy is applying for a waiver of the obligations in section 4.2 the Guideline to ensure regional customers do not lose access to these technical training services.

5.2 Reasons for the AER to grant the waiver

Clause 5.3.2(a) states that in assessing a waiver application and deciding whether to grant a waiver or refuse to grant a waiver, the AER 'must have regard to:

- i. The National Electricity Objective;
- ii. The potential for cross-subsidisation and discrimination if the waiver is granted or refused;
- iii. Whether the benefit, or likely benefit, to electricity consumers of the DNSP complying with the obligation (including any benefit, or likely benefit, from increased competition) would be outweighed by the cost to the DNSP of complying with that obligation.'

In addition, on page 57 of the 'Electricity Distribution Ring-fencing Guideline Explanatory Statement November 2016' ('Explanatory Statement') the AER states 'in considering whether to grant a waiver from the Guideline's non-discrimination obligations, we will consider a range of issues. These include:

- Whether a waiver would better achieve the National Electricity Objective;
- The potential for cross-subsidisation and discrimination if the waiver is granted;
- The benefits of the relevant obligation for the long term interests of consumers;
- The costs to the DNSP of its compliance with the obligation;

The effect of granting a waiver on competition in markets for contestable electricity services.

The Explanatory Statement goes on to say on page 58: 'We expect some waivers will be inconsequential in nature' and 'In assessing a waiver application it is important that we have flexibility in how we choose to assess an application on a case by case basis, to ensure we provide procedural fairness for each application, while minimising unnecessary administrative and compliance burdens.'

Essential Energy's waiver request for the functional separation obligations to be waived for the three technical training courses in the locations specified in section 2 service meets the criteria set by the AER for the granting of a waiver for the following reasons:

- The granting of the waiver will support the National Electricity Objective as it will protect consumers in those locations where these courses are not offered by a functioning competitive market. Not allowing the waiver will mean that Essential Energy will not be able to offer this service in these areas and is likely to result in customers not being able to access the course, only being able to access the course at substantially increased rates or being forced to incur significant travel and accommodation costs to send their employee to those locations where an alternative provider currently conducts training;
- The potential for discrimination is reduced due to Essential Energy only requesting a waiver in specified regional locations that aren't currently adequately serviced;
- The potential for cross-subsidisation is removed by existence of a whole of business Cost Allocation Methodology (CAM) and the accounting separation of this service from standard control;
- Customers will benefit from the approval of the waiver as it will fill a gap in the contestable market that
 would otherwise be left by the withdrawal of Essential Energy from providing this service to regional and
 remote areas not currently serviced buy another provider;
- The costs of compliance with the obligation would be higher than the value derived by the business from delivering the small volume of services currently delivered in those locations. Meeting the obligation would require costs to be incurred in a number of different areas including the separation of staff managing the service from staff conducting regulated training activity and new office accommodation (or expense refitting existing office accommodation) as well as a rebranding exercise and possible IT costs. These costs would need to be recouped from the small number of customers currently engaging Essential Energy for this service. Essential Energy is not concerned with actively competing in the contestable training market but with providing a service to customers who would otherwise not have access in a competitive market to this service. As a result, the most likely approach from Essential Energy should the waiver not be approved is to cease the provision of this service in these locations;
- There is likely to be no impact on contestable markets should this waiver be granted given the lack of competition currently in the market in regional and remote areas.

5.3 Cost associated with complying with the obligation under clauses 4.2

The ring-fencing obligations are an additional layer of regulatory compliance that is not without cost. The additional costs involved with the functional separation of those staff performing management and administrative functions for the contestable courses within the Technical Training Service would need to be passed onto the customers. Such additional costs include:

- Costs related to separation of employee office space this may require partitioning off sections of already
 leased or owned property or leasing/purchasing new office space. Fit-out costs would be required as well
 as additional security access measures if partitioning currently leased/owned space. Additional leased or
 owned office space would also incur additional ongoing costs that would need to be funded by customers;
- Costs related to the separation of staff between regulated training activity and contestable training activity –
 costs would be incurred in separating out an employee to manage the business. The role would not be a
 full-time role; and
- Costs related to rebranding/advertising a new brand would need to be created and implemented for the Technical Training service including new website.

Regulated training activity (i.e. training of internal staff or external staff in monopoly courses) comprises approximately 90% of the Technical Training group's time. A requirement to functionally separate the contestable training services from the regulated training services would be uncommercial given that in total these tasks would not fill a single full-time role. As a result, if this waiver is not approved Essential Energy would likely discontinue this service in the additional areas requested rather than set up a ring-fenced business group to deliver the contestable activity.

5.4 Additional measures to be undertaken on granting of waiver

Essential Energy proposes no additional measures to be undertaken on the granting of this waiver but reaffirms its commitment to the following existing protections:

- Maintenance of a CAM that covers all services offered by the business, including the contestable services offered by the Technical Training team;
- Maintenance of accounting separation of the contestable training courses offered by the Technical Training team; and
- Other obligations under the Guideline, such as the discrimination and information sharing provisions, that cannot be waived.

6. Summary

Essential Energy submits that a waiver of the obligations in section 4.2 of the Guideline is justified on the basis that:

- There are no issues of competition given the lack of competition for these courses in regional New South Wales;
- 2. The likely public benefits of rejecting the waiver are negligible or non-existent. In fact, the likely impact would be a reduction in services for those customers in those areas of the Essential Energy footprint that don't have access to this service from other providers; and
- 3. The administrative costs resulting from achieving compliance with clause 4.2 of the Guideline (that will be avoided if the requirement is waived) outweigh the benefit of achieving compliance.

Essential Energy therefore submits that the granting of a waiver to the obligations in section 4.2 of the Guideline promotes the long-term interests of consumers.

Appendix 1 - Waiver Information Compliance Checklist

Required Information as per Clause 5.2	Section
The obligation in respect of which the DNSP is applying for a waiver	3.1
The reasons why the DNSP is applying for the waiver	5.1
Details of the service, or services, in relation to which the DNSP is applying for the waiver	2
The proposed commencement date and expiry date (if any) of the waiver and the reasons for those dates	3.2
Details of the costs associated with the <i>DNSP</i> complying with the obligation if the waiver of the obligation were refused	5.3
The regulatory control period(s) to which the waiver would apply	3.3
Any additional measures the DNSP proposes to undertake if the waiver were granted	5.4
The reasons why the <i>DNSP</i> considers the waiver should be granted with reference to the matters specified in clause 5.3.2(a), including the benefits, or likely benefits, of the grant of the waiver to electricity consumers	5.2

Ring-Fencing Guideline – Electricity Distribution November 2016

Waiver Application by Essential Energy: Provider of Last Resort

September 2017



Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	3
2.	Description of service – Provider of Last Resort	3
3.	Description of waiver being sought	4
3.1	Obligation subject of this waiver	4
3.2	Proposed waiver commencement date and expiry date	4
3.3	Regulatory control periods to which the waiver applies	4
4.	Legislative arrangements for waivers	4
5.	Grounds for seeking a waiver	5
5.1	Reasons for seeking a waiver	5
5.2	Reasons for the AER to grant the waiver	5
5.3	Cost associated with complying with the obligation under clauses 3.1, 4.2 and 4.4.1(a)	6
5.4	Additional measures to be undertaken on granting of waiver	6
6.	Summary	6
Appendix 1 - Waiver Information Compliance Checklist		7

1. Introduction

This paper outlines Essential Energy's application for a waiver of clauses 3.1, 4.2 and 4.4.1(a) of the Ring Fencing Guideline – Electricity Distribution (Guideline) which requires a Distribution Network Service Provider (*DNSP*) to comply with obligations relating to legal separation, physical separation, staff separation, branding and cross promotion, office and staff registers, and supplier contracts between its *direct control services* and *contestable electricity services* provided by a *related electricity service provider*.

Essential Energy applies for a waiver of the obligations contained in clauses 3.1, 4.2 and 4.4.1(a) of the Guideline to allow Essential Energy to act as a provider of last resort without being required to comply with these obligations.

Essential Energy submits that this waiver is required to ensure that regional and remote customers do not lose total access to services given Essential Energy's decision not to form a contestable business and the restrictions this would place on Essential Energy's ability to deliver these services under the Ring Fencing Guideline.

2. Description of service – Provider of Last Resort

In assessing options available to achieve compliance with the Ring Fencing Guideline, Essential Energy has determined that continuing to offer the same (small) volume of contestable services would not justify the cost to customers of creating and running a functionally separated business post 1 January 2018.

However, we are concerned about the possibility of this decision leading to reduced services and increased costs to those customers in regional locations where there is no existing competition. For example, Essential Energy has largely withdrawn from competing for works under the contestable ASP Scheme over the last five years but continues to deliver a small number of jobs for customers who contact Essential Energy as they are unable to source any alternate suppliers of these services in the open market.

Our concern for regional communities where there may be a lack of competition can be categorised into two main areas:

- Lack of access to affordable services where only a single competitive provider exists and abuses their market power or where service providers may be located a significant distance away and mobilisation becomes a significant cost; and
- Lack of access to timely services where providers advise customers that they are unable to perform the
 works requested in a timely manner and there is a lack of alternative suppliers.

In recognition of Essential Energy's concerns for regional communities, Essential Energy is seeking a waiver of Ring Fencing obligations to allow us to act as a provider of last resort to ensure that timely, quality and affordable services are accessible to all communities in our network footprint.

Essential Energy requests that this waiver covers all services currently offered by Essential Energy including (but not limited to) construction and maintenance services, connections works, customer initiated recoverable works (augmentation or relocation of assets, etc), HV and LV asset maintenance and testing, wet plant hire, etc. Note that services related to new or emerging technology are not currently offered by Essential Energy and are specifically excluded from this waiver.

Note that the waiver from legal separation is required as some current services, such as customer HV asset testing and maintenance, are classified as 'Other Electricity Services' under the Guideline.

Without a waiver Essential Energy would not able to provide these 'provider of last resort' services to customers and customers would be left with no available supplier.

3. Description of waiver being sought

3.1 Obligation subject of this waiver

As required by the National Electricity Rules (NER) under clause 6.17.2, the AER published the Ring-Fencing Guideline – Electricity Distribution in November 2016. Clause 6.17.1 of the NER requires *DNSP*'s to comply with the Guideline.

The clauses within sections 3.1, 4.2 and 4.4.1(a) of the Guideline require DNSP's to legally separate some services, functionally separate the offices, staff and branding/cross-promotion of direct control services from contestable electricity services, and ensure any new or varied agreements with service providers include provisions requiring the service provider to comply with the Ring Fencing Guideline. Clause 4.2.5 and 4.4.2 of the Guideline permit a *DNSP* to apply for a waiver of these obligations.

Essential Energy is seeking a waiver of clauses 3.1, 4.2 and 4.4.1(a) with regards to services supplied as a 'supplier of last resort'.

3.2 Proposed waiver commencement date and expiry date

Essential Energy requests that the waiver be granted from 1 December 2016 (the commencement date of the Guideline) with an expiry date of 30 June 2024 (the end of the next regulatory control period) as allowed for by clause 5.3.4(b). These dates have been selected to allow the waiver to be in operation for the longest duration possible given Essential Energy does not foresee any change in the service during that timeframe.

3.3 Regulatory control periods to which the waiver applies

The requested waiver will cover the remainder of the 2015-2019 regulatory control period as well as the full 2020-2024 regulatory control period.

4. Legislative arrangements for waivers

Clause 5.2 states that 'a *DNSP* may apply in writing to the AER for a waiver of its obligations under clauses 3.1, 4.2 and/or 4.4.1(a) of this Guideline. Furthermore, this clause states an application for waiver must contain all information and materials necessary to support the *DNSP*'s application, including:

- a) The obligation in respect of which the *DNSP* is applying for a waiver;
- b) The reasons why the *DNSP* is applying for the waiver;
- c) Details of the service, or services, in relation to which the DNSP is applying for the waiver;
- d) The proposed commencement date and expiry date (if any) of the waiver and the reasons for those dates;
- e) Details of the costs associated with the *DNSP* complying with the obligation if the waiver of the obligation were refused:
- f) The regulatory control period(s) to which the waiver would apply;
- g) Any additional measures the DNSP proposes to undertake if the waiver were granted; and
- h) The reasons why the *DNSP* considers the waiver should be granted with reference to the matters specified in clause 5.3.2(a), including the benefits, or likely benefits, of the grant of the waiver to electricity consumers.'

5. Grounds for seeking a waiver

5.1 Reasons for seeking a waiver

Essential Energy is applying for a waiver of clauses 3.1, 4.2 and 4.4.1(a) of the Guideline to ensure that customers can access all services in situations where the competitive market does not meet customer requirements.

5.2 Reasons for the AER to grant the waiver

Clause 5.3.2(a) states that in assessing a waiver application and deciding whether to grant a waiver or refuse to grant a waiver, the AER 'must have regard to:

- i. The National Electricity Objective;
- ii. The potential for cross-subsidisation and discrimination if the waiver is granted or refused;
- iii. Whether the benefit, or likely benefit, to electricity consumers of the DNSP complying with the obligation (including any benefit, or likely benefit, from increased competition) would be outweighed by the cost to the DNSP of complying with that obligation.'

In addition, on page 57 of the 'Electricity Distribution Ring-fencing Guideline Explanatory Statement November 2016' ('Explanatory Statement') the AER states 'in considering whether to grant a waiver from the Guideline's non-discrimination obligations, we will consider a range of issues. These include:

- Whether a waiver would better achieve the National Electricity Objective;
- The potential for cross-subsidisation and discrimination if the waiver is granted;
- The benefits of the relevant obligation for the long-term interests of consumers;
- The costs to the DNSP of its compliance with the obligation;
- The effect of granting a waiver on competition in markets for contestable electricity services.

The Explanatory Statement goes on to say on page 58: 'We expect some waivers will be inconsequential in nature' and 'In assessing a waiver application it is important that we have flexibility in how we choose to assess an application on a case by case basis, to ensure we provide procedural fairness for each application, while minimising unnecessary administrative and compliance burdens.'

Essential Energy's waiver request for the legal and functional separation obligations to be waived for services supplied as a 'supplier of last resort' meets the criteria set by the AER for the granting of a waiver for the following reasons:

- The granting of the waiver will support the National Electricity Objective as it will protect those customers
 who are unable to access certain services due to a weak or non-existent competitive market. The National
 Electricity Objective is further protected by the existence of a whole of business Cost Allocation Method
 (CAM) and accounting separation of any works delivered under the provider of last resort waiver within
 Essential Energy's financial system;
- There is no potential for discrimination given Essential Energy is only proposing to offer this service where the competitive market does not meet customer requirements;
- Customers will benefit from the waiver as it provides a service 'safety-net' should the competitive market
 fall short of customers' requirements. Should the waiver not be granted Essential Energy would not be able
 to provide this service and customers would be unable to access these services from any supplier;
- There will be no impact on contestable markets should this waiver be granted given the services will only be offered where no other provider is willing to offer the services.

5.3 Cost associated with complying with the obligation under clauses 3.1, 4.2 and 4.4.1(a)

There would be no cost to Essential Energy should the waiver not be granted as we a decision to not to create a contestable business has been made. The impact would be that Essential Energy would not be able to act as a provider of last resort for customers and therefore they would not be able to access the services they require from any market participant.

5.4 Additional measures to be undertaken on granting of waiver

Essential Energy does not propose any additional measures to be undertaken on the granting of this waiver but reaffirms its commitment to the maintenance of a CAM that covers all services offered by the business and accounting separation of any works delivered by Essential Energy as a supplier of last resort in Essential Energy's financial system.

6. Summary

Essential Energy submits that a waiver of clauses 3.1, 4.2 and 4.4.1(a) of the Guideline is justified on the basis that:

- 1. There are no issues of competition given the services will only be offered where the customer is unable to access the service in the contestable market;
- 2. It is in the long-term interests of consumers that they have access to the services they require. Not granting this waiver would result in Essential Energy to not being able to offer these services where the contestable has not met customer requirements.

Essential Energy therefore submits that the granting of a waiver to clauses 3.1, 4.2 and 4.4.1(a) of the Guideline promotes the long-term interests of consumers.

Appendix 1 - Waiver Information Compliance Checklist

Required Information as per Clause 5.2	Section
The obligation in respect of which the DNSP is applying for a waiver	3.1
The reasons why the DNSP is applying for the waiver	5.1
Details of the service, or services, in relation to which the DNSP is applying for the waiver	2
The proposed commencement date and expiry date (if any) of the waiver and the reasons for those dates	3.2
Details of the costs associated with the <i>DNSP</i> complying with the obligation if the waiver of the obligation were refused	5.3
The regulatory control period(s) to which the waiver would apply	3.3
Any additional measures the DNSP proposes to undertake if the waiver were granted	5.4
The reasons why the <i>DNSP</i> considers the waiver should be granted with reference to the matters specified in clause 5.3.2(a), including the benefits, or likely benefits, of the grant of the waiver to electricity consumers	5.2